

WHFF Guidelines for Interpreting New Evidence on Potential Monogenic Traits

WHFF is a federation of Holstein breed associations around the world. In this role, WHFF draws upon a pool of resources to review and evaluate the science and discovery of new genetic traits. Cooperatively they work to review the literature; evaluate the data's accurary and soundness of interpretation. WHFF harmonizes nomenclature, coding and descriptions of genetic traits; and helps ensure transparency and public disclosure of test results.

As genetic testing of humans and animals has increased more DNA sequence variants are being identified as potential monogenic markers. For example, the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database is a catalog of human genes, genetic disorders, and traits. As of 2022, there were over 6,000 monogenic diseases with more than 200,000 pathogenic variants described. This database is constantly being updated as the genetic basis of most of the rare disorders remains to be determined.

The scientific significance of any given sequence variant falls along a gradient, ranging from those in which the scientific evidence is complete and convincing to those where substantial uncertainty remains. It is important to keep in mind that inclusion on the WHFF Master List of monogeneic traits will lead to certain actions including a substantial change in the potential usage of an animal and their market value. Placing a genetic condition onto the WHFF Master List has severe consequences to international trade and commerce. However, a primary responsibility of herdbook organizations is to protect the health of the Holstein breed, and the viability of breeders of Holstein cattle around the world.

The WHFF has established the following guidelines whereby variants will be classified into five classes describing their phenotypic expression. A set of criteria (e.g., population, predictive, functional, and segregation data) is used to judge soundness of the evidence and the severity of the condition to determine its eligibility for inclusion on the WHFF Master List of monogenic traits.

<u>Class 1</u>	Traditional: Traits with distinctive characteristics
	 Breed characteristics: such as Color, horns, etc.
	Physical deformities: such as Complex Vertebral Malformation
	(CVM), Brachyspina (BY), etc.
Class 2	Haplotypes Impacting Fertility
	Embryonic death due to a genetic variant causing loss-of-
	function
	• 90% or more of the associated deaths associated occur prior to
	birth

WHFF Classes of genetic traits:



	Examples: HH1, HH2, etc.
<u>Class 3</u>	Desirable traits
	Examples: variants associated with beneficial cheese making
	properties, improved digestibility eg. A2, certain kappa casein
	alleles, etc.
<u>Class 4</u>	Reduced Fitness and Health
	 Non-specific: Poor health, lack of vigor, etc.
	 Late onset: Vision loss, heart malformations
Class 5:	<u>No Known Impairment</u>
	• Impact on production, reproduction or health is little to none.

Genetic traits included in Classes 1, 3 and 4 must meet or exceed the WHFF criteria for being added to the WHFF Master List. Traits, that qualify, will be identified by a three-letter expression code, e.g. MFC = tested carrier of Mule foot. Class 2 traits, categorized as haplotypes impacting fertility, will also need to meet or exceed the WHFF criteria for being added to the WHFF Master List. Their expression code follows the international rules of sequentially numbering each new haplotype as they are added to the WHFF Master List. Class 5 genetic traits do not qualify for inclusion in the WHFF Master List.

Rules for inclusion on WHFF Master List and the appropriate expression code:

<u>Class</u>	Master List	Expression Code
1	Must meet criteria	Three-letter code
2	Must meet criteria	Follows haplotype nomenclature, HH1, HH2,
etc.		
3	Must meet criteria	Three-letter code
4	Must meet criteria	Three-letter code
5	No	None

Criteria to be used in determining if a new genetic trait should be added to the WHFF Master List.

1. Frequency of defective variant to be greater than 5% in 2 or more countries.

2. Description and location of the variant must be provided.

3. Diagnostic SNP(s) should be included on one or more of the commercially available SNP Chips.

4. Explains 5% or more of the genetic variation for a polygenic trait such as health or production.

5. Acts independent of environmental conditions.

6. It is a monogenetic trait; free of epistasis, i.e., acts independent of other genes.



7. High penetrance, a "high-penetrance" variant is defined as a variant that segregates in a Mendelian pattern and in which 50% or more of the carrier individuals develop features of the condition.

8. There must be publicly available documentation published in a peerreviewed scientific journal that is available on the trait.

Genetic traits not meeting the above criteria will be deemed as **Under investigation.** This would allow each country, region, or group of researchers to construct a list of U haplotypes that they are investigating or monitoring. For example, the U.S Holstein population is currently monitoring 32 monogenic conditions, where the anomaly is not at a high enough frequency, lacks a complete genetic profile or its severity does not warrant the notification of the respective international breed association. If one of these HU haplotypes, e.g. HU21, increased in frequency or other information became available so that it now meets the criteria to be added to the WHFF Master List, haplotype HU21 would be sequentially submitted to WHFF for further review. If it met all WHFF criteria, it would be added as HH7 or the next appropriate sequential number.

Haplotypes Under Investigation may be further categorized by the amount of evidence pointing to a monogenic form of inheritance, for example, conservative or weak. In the case of a haplotype with conservative evidence, although there may be a lack of sufficient evidence about its mode of inheritance and functional behavior, there may be enough evidence to warrant the sharing of the genotypes as it directly pertains to the risk of an animal suffering from an undesirable genetic condition. Designating a new genetic variant as having conservative evidence may allow for further and quicker collection of phenotypic data from the industry. For example, owners of young recumbent animals would be more inclined to genotype them if they knew they would receive back a diagnostic result on a suspected genetic condition.

Publication

All countries are encouraged to publish the WHFF three-letter expression code close to the animal's name on all official documents. WHFF recognized haplotypes should be made publicly available and easily obtained on all animals. Information on animals for genetic traits under investigation can be handled in two ways:

<u>HU with Conservative Evidence</u>: genotypes of HU conditions may be sent to the owner of the animal. This information can be used for diagnostic purposes, but publication of individual results is strongly discouraged.

<u>HU with Weak Evidence</u>: will not be publicly displayed nor will they be included in the routine reporting of genomic information to the owners. Genotypes with HU with Weak Evidence will be kept on file by the national genetic evaluation center,



the respective breed association and/or the genotyping lab and only made available to qualified researchers upon formal request.

Conclusions:

In our rapidly evolving world, WHFF needs to be adaptive to new and more information coming from genomic research. Recently, our Class descriptions of Monogenic traits have been updated and criteria for inclusion in the WHFF Master List has been initiated.

Example of WHFF guidelines for a new trait (XXXX) with limited information:

Currently, there is not enough information to declare XXXXX as a WHFF monogenic trait. However, we should work to collect more information and resolve outstanding questions. We're recommending that genetic test results on XXXXX be identified as a <u>Haplotype Under Investigation with conservative evidence</u>. This would be the first genetic condition identified as such and made available for public release. Therefore, genotype information related to XXXXX should be labeled as **HU1**. It's recommended that **HU1** genotype test results be sent to the owner of the animal as a part of the routine genomic test results. **HU1** test results should utilize the same interpretation codes as previously established for haplotype information (Table 1). **HU1** test results can be used for diagnostic purposes, but publication of individual results is strongly discouraged.

HU1 : <u>H</u> aplotype <u>U</u> nder Investigation Number <u>1</u>			
Code	Interpretation		
0	Tested Free / non-carrier		
1	Tested Carrier / Heterozygous / Confirmed with pedigree info.		
2	Tested Homozygous / Confirmed by both sides of pedigree		
3	Unconfirmed / Status of one suspected haplotype could not be confirmed.		
4	Unconfirmed / Status of two suspected haplotypes could not be confirmed.		